THE UPR PROJECT AT BCU

Submitted by:

The UPR Project at BCU Centre for Human Rights, School of Law Birmingham City University

Submitted to:

Malawi's Universal Periodic Review Fourth Cycle 50th Session of the UPR Working Group *Nov* 2025

About the UPR Project at BCU:

Birmingham City University's Centre for Human Rights was created in 2014 to promote human rights, ensure access to justice, and enhance the rule of law around the world. We seek to achieve this through leading research, education, and consultancy. We submit expert reports to international human rights regions, provide advisory services to governments and nongovernmental organisations, and draft legal opinions and file legal briefs in domestic courts and international human rights courts.

The Centre for Human Rights established the UPR Project in 2018 as part of our consultancy service. We engage with the Human Rights Council's review process in offering support to the UPR Pre-sessions, providing capacity building for UPR stakeholders and National Human Rights Institutions, and the filing of stakeholder reports in selected sessions. The UPR Project is designed to help meet the challenges facing the safeguarding of human rights around the world, and to help ensure that UPR recommendations are translated into domestic legal change in member state parliaments. We fully support the UPR ethos of encouraging the sharing of best practice globally to protect everyone's human rights. The UPR Project at BCU engages with the UPR regularly as a stakeholder and is frequently cited by the OHCHR. You can read more about the UPR Project here: www.bcu.ac.uk/law/research/centre-for-human-rights/projects-and-consultancy/upr-project-at-bcu

Compiled by:

Lead Author: Dr. Amna Nazir Contributing Authors: Dr. Alice Storey & Prof. Jon Yorke. Thank you to: BCU Law Researcher, Stella Mguli, for her research assistance.

Contact:

Dr. Alice Storey (Lead Academic of the UPR Project at BCU) Email: <u>Alice.Storey@bcu.ac.uk</u> Address: Birmingham City University, School of Law, Curzon Building, 4 Cardigan Street, Birmingham, B4 7BD, UK.

INTRODUCTION

- 1. Malawi is party to all nine core international human rights treaties for which it should be commended.¹ This includes the International Covenant on Civil and Political Rights (ICCPR) and in line with the Covenant's protection of the right to life and the prohibition against inhuman punishment, this Stakeholder Report focuses upon capital punishment.
- 2. We make recommendations to the Government of Malawi on this key issue, implementation of which would also see the State moving towards achieving Sustainable Development Goal 16 which aims for peaceful and inclusive societies, access to justice for all and effective, accountable and inclusive institutions at all levels.
- 3. We urge the State to make practical commitments in the fourth cycle of the UPR for the abolition of the punishment. As an initial step, we call for the suspension of the capital judicial process through the initiation of an official moratorium on the death penalty. This will enable the government to make a positive commitment towards domestic *de jure* abolition.
- 4. In this submission, we encourage Malawi to commit to improving its human rights protection and promotion by engaging meaningfully with the UPR. This includes giving full and practical consideration to all recommendations made by Member States, effectively implementing the recommendations Malawi accepts, and actively engaging with civil society throughout the process

CAPITAL PUNISHMENT

A. Malawi and International Law on the Death Penalty

5. The inclusion of the death penalty in Malawi's domestic laws is based on its presence in Article 16 of the Malawi Constitution which states that:

Every person has the right to life and no person shall be arbitrarily deprived of his or her life: Provided that the execution of the death sentence imposed by a competent court on a person in respect of a criminal offence under the laws of Malawi of which he or she has been convicted shall not be regarded as arbitrary deprivation of his or her right to life.²

6. Under Malawi law, prisoners convicted of murder³ or treason⁴ may be sentenced to death. Also, anyone convicted of rape,⁵ aggravated robbery⁶ and burglary/housebreaking⁷ may be punished with either death or life imprisonment.

7. The death penalty has not been practiced in Malawi since the democratic election of President Bakili Muluzi in 1994.⁸ Furthermore, statistics of the death penalty are not readily available, however, it is believed that approximately 30 prisoners a year were hanged in groups in the early nineties with the last executions in Malawi taking place in 1992.⁹

International Law Promoting the Restriction and Abolition of the Death Penalty

- 8. The United Nations' framework for regulating the application of the death penalty comprises a corpus of international human rights law and jurisprudence. Of particular relevance are Articles 6, 7, and 14 ICCPR, ¹⁰ its Second Optional Protocol, ¹¹ the ECOSOC Safeguards Guaranteeing Protection of the Rights of Those Facing the Death Penalty, ¹² the Secretary General's quinquennial reporting, ¹³ the Secretary General's Question on the Death Penalty, ¹⁴ and the Human Rights Committee decisions. ¹⁵ Other relevant treaties include the Convention Against Torture and Other Cruel, Inhuman and Degrading Treatment or Punishment ¹⁶ and the Convention on the Rights of the Child. ¹⁷
- 9. The General Comment on the Right to Life¹⁸ provides an interpretive lens on the death penalty and concerning ICCPR Article 6(6), which states, '[n]othing in this article shall be invoked to delay or to prevent the abolition of capital punishment,' it:
 - reaffirms the position that States parties that are not yet totally abolitionist should be on an irrevocable path towards complete eradication of the death penalty, de facto and de jure, in the foreseeable future. The death penalty cannot be reconciled with full respect for the right to life, and abolition of the death penalty is both desirable [...] and necessary for the enhancement of human dignity and progressive development of human rights.¹⁹
- 10. The growing international consensus against capital punishment is reflected in the UN General Assembly's biennial resolution to impose a global moratorium on the use of the death penalty. The ninth and most recent iteration of the resolution was passed on 15 December 2022. A total of 125 votes were recorded in favour with 37 votes against and 22 abstentions. Malawi has abstained in all such resolutions until 2016 where it changed its voting pattern and has consistently been voting 'yes' in these resolutions (2016; 2018; 2020; 2022; 2024).²⁰
- 11. Malawi's voting record is also reflected in its absence as a signatory to the Joint Permanent Missions' most recent *note verbale* of dissociation, which records a formal objection to the Secretary General of the United Nations on the attempt to create a global moratorium on the death penalty.²¹ The absence from the *note verbale* provides the platform for Malawi to continue signalling its support for a global moratorium in the General Assembly resolutions.

B. Implementation of Recommendations from Cycle Three in 2020

12. Malawi received 232 recommendations in the Third Cycle of which 192 were accepted, 1 partially accepted, and 39 noted.²² A total of 15 recommendations focused on the death penalty, all of which were noted.²³

Recommendations concerning Malawi's Adoption of International Law

13. **Germany** (para 124.2), **Honduras** (para 124.3), **Latvia** (para 124.4), **Portugal** (para 124.5), **Spain** (para 124.26), **France** (para 124.28), **Iceland** (para 124.30), **Italy** (para 124.31), and **Australia** (para 124.37) recommended Malawi to ratify the Second Optional Protocol to the ICCPR. These were all noted and Malawi has not indicated any change to its position.

Recommendations concerning a Moratorium and/or Abolition

- 14. A number of States recommended Malawi "abolish the death penalty". This included **Portugal** (para 124.5), **Fiji** (para 124.27), **Iceland** (para 124.30), **Rwanda** (para 124.34), and **Australia** (para 124.37). Others such as **Spain** (para 124.26), **France** (para 124.28), **Switzerland** (para 124.29), **Italy** (para 124.31), **Latvia** (para 124.4), **Nepal** (para 124.33), and **Sierra Leone** (para 124.35) recommended the State maintain its *de facto* moratorium and/or establish a *de jure* moratorium with a view to legal abolition. Malawi noted all of these recommendations and continues to support the retention of capital punishment.
- 15. Whilst such recommendations are welcomed, it is crucial that they remain specific and measurable in order to assess the level of implementation. Broad recommendations, whilst easy to accept, lack any impetus to bring about real change.²⁴ It is recommended that States adopt a SMART approach to recommendations as recognised by UPRinfo.²⁵ This would help Malawi initiate an incremental approach to reducing the scope of the punishment and map out the process for abolition.
- 16. Additionally, it would prove more beneficial if recommending States make reference to the review criteria which includes "human rights instruments to which a State is party." For example reference to Article 6 and/or 14 ICCPR, a treaty the State under Review has ratified, would strengthen any death penalty recommendations.
- 17. During its review, Malawi stated that "all persons on death row had had their sentences commuted to imprisonment. Although the death penalty existed in the Penal Code, there had been a moratorium on the implementation of the death penalty and no one had been executed since 1994".²⁷
- 18. We therefore urge Malawi to move towards a *de jure* moratorium with a view to abolition in line with its commitments under Article 6 ICCPR and remove the death penalty from its Penal Code.

- 19. In April 2021, the Supreme Court of Appeal of the Republic of Malawi in its landmark ruling in the case of *Khowiva v Republic of Malawi* declared the death penalty unconstitutional and a violation of the right to life.²⁸ The maximum sentence for capital offences such as murder, treason, rape, aggravated robbery, and burglary/housebreaking was amended to life imprisonment. In August 2021, the Supreme Court of Malawi reversed its original ruling, therefore, upholding the death penalty as a punishment for capital offences.²⁹ Capital punishment remains in force in Malawi.
- 20. In 2022, President Lazarus Chakwera commuted all death sentences to life imprisonment, resulting in no prisoners on death row in Malawi and no Malawian court has sentenced anyone to death.³⁰ Furthermore, the Members of the Legal Affairs Committee of the National Assembly of Malawi uncovered the overwhelming majority supporting abolition, following a public consultation.³¹ This further indicates the public support for the abolition of capital punishment in Malawi. In October 2023, during the commemorations marking World Day against the Death Penalty, the Minister of Justice, Titus Mvalo described the death penalty as inhuman and reaffirmed the government's commitment to abolish capital punishment.³² He stated that a bill to abolish capital punishment is being prepared and will be presented to the parliament.³³
- 21. In June 2024, the World Coalition Against the Death Penalty and other CSOs sent an open letter to the Minister of Justice of Malawi urging him to table a bill in the forthcoming parliamentary session to abolish the death penalty.³⁴ The Ministry of Justice further reported a delay in tabling the bill due to prioritising other critical bills but has reaffirmed its commitment to present it soon.³⁵ Although capital punishment is still in force, this confirms that Malawi is on the right path towards abolishing the death penalty.

C. Further Points for Malawi to Consider

The Role of the National Human Rights Institution

- 22. The Malawi Human Rights Commission (MHRC)³⁶ could undertake important work on pushing for the abolition of the death penalty from Malawi's legal system. The MHRC could advise the government on the abolition process, provide public education on how capital punishment renders harmful effects upon society, and demonstrate its ineffectiveness as a penological policy on deterrence.
- 23. We call upon the government to provide the Malawi Human Rights Commission with a mandate to advise on legislative amendment for abolition.

Adopting the UPR Recommendations to Enable the People of Malawi to Benefit from Advances in Effective Penology

- 24. The right to benefit from scientific advancement should also apply to the progress in social science research on the death penalty. The Universal Declaration of Human Rights, Article 27, states, "[e]veryone has the right freely to participate in the cultural life of the community, to enjoy the arts and to share in scientific advancement and its benefits," and the ICESCR article 15 (1)(b) recognises the right of everyone, "[t]o enjoy the benefits of scientific progress and its applications."
- 25. Leading social science and criminological investigations into the death penalty worldwide have concluded:
 - [t]hose who favour capital punishment 'in principle' have been faced with yet more convincing evidence of the abuses, discrimination, mistakes, and inhumanity that appear inevitably to accompany it in practice. Some of them have set out on the quest to find the key to a 'perfect' system in which no mistakes or injustices will occur. In our view, this quest is chimerical.³⁸
- 26. Social science investigations now demonstrate that reflecting appropriate government means that whilst capital punishment could be created within a legitimate parliamentary process,³⁹ it is now clear that the application of the death penalty renders an illegitimate and inhumane outcome.⁴⁰ Abolition in Malawi would enable the people of the country to benefit from the advancement of the leading social scientific research on punishment policies.

The Universal Periodic Review Recommendations and the Contribution to the Sustainable Development Goals

- 27. Malawi should consider adopting the UPR recommendations as an expression of mutual reinforcement of the government's commitment to promoting the Sustainable Development Goals.⁴¹ The human rights values expressed in both the UPR and the SDGs can be woven together to promote policy coherence.⁴²
- 28. SDG 16 provides for "Peace, Justice and Strong Institutions" but the application of the death penalty is inconsistent with this goal. Specifically, SDG 16.1 aims to reduce death rates, promote equal access to justice, and "protect fundamental freedoms," and to further this, SDG 16.A.1 identifies the importance of relevant national institutions, for building capacity at all levels, to prevent violence and combat terrorism and crime.
- 29. The use of the death penalty does not signal legitimate strength in institutions, but renders counterproductive and inhumane consequences, including a brutalising effect upon society. This was affirmed in the Special Rapporteur's report on 'pay-back' violence and killings. 43 The death penalty is antithetical to strong institutional processes for the fostering of the human dignity of the people of Malawi.

D. Recommendations

We recommend that, before the next cycle of review, the government of Malawi should:

- Uphold and enforce its international obligations to safeguard the right to life, pursuant to Articles 6, 7 and 14 of the ICCPR.
- ii. Whilst it retains the death penalty, ensure it complies with the 'most serious crimes' principle, under Article 6 ICCPR, restricting punishment to crimes of intentional killing only.
- iii. Ratify the Second Optional Protocol to the ICCPR aiming at the abolition of the death penalty.
- Amend Article 16 of the Malawi Constitution and the Penal Code to remove the iv. provision of the death penalty.
- v. Introduce the bill to abolish capital punishment without delay in the next Parliamentary session.
- Develop, in consultation with civil society and relevant regional bodies, a vi. comprehensive action plan to formalise its moratorium, with a view to abolition, within the next four years.
- vii. Affirm its commitment to SDG 16 on access to justice and strong institutions through its support at the next biennial vote on the UNGA Resolution on the moratorium on the use of the death penalty.
- Accept UPR recommendations on the abolition of the death penalty, as also signalling viii. Malawi's affirmation of commitments to SDG 16 on strong institutions.
 - Issue the Malawi Human Rights Commission with a mandate to advise on legislative ix. amendment for abolition.

 $^{^{1}} See < https://tbinternet.ohchr.org/_layouts/15/TreatyBodyExternal/Treaty.aspx?CountryID=104\&Lang=EN>.$

² Article 17 of Malawi Constitution 1994 (rev 2017) Constitution

<www.constituteproject.org/constitution/Malawi 2017>.

³ S.209 and 210 of the Penal Code https://malawilii.org/akn/mw/act/1929/22/eng@2014-12-

^{31#}part II chp XIX sec 209>.

⁴ ibid S 38.

⁵ ibid S 133.

⁶ ibid S 301.

⁷ ibid S 309.

⁸ World Coalition Against the Death Penalty, 'Malawi Supreme Court Reverses Abolition Decision' (18 Aug 2022) https://worldcoalition.org/2022/08/18/malawi-abolishes-the-death-

penalty/#:~:text=The%20death%20penalty%20has%20not,Malawi%20took%20place%20in%201992.> 9 Amnesty International, 'The Death Penalty in Malawi Recent Developments' (December 1994)

https://www.amnesty.org/en/wp-content/uploads/2021/06/afr360061994en.pdf accessed 16/01/2024

¹⁰ International Covenant on Civil and Political Rights (1976) 999 UNTS 171, Article 6 (right to life); Article 7 (the prohibition against torture and inhuman or degrading treatment or punishment); and Article 14 (the right to a fair trial and the principle of equality of arms).

¹¹ The Second Optional Protocol to the International Covenant on Civil and Political Rights, aiming at the abolition of the death penalty, GA Res. 44/128, December 15, 1989.

¹² Safeguards Guaranteeing Protection of the Rights of Those Facing the Death Penalty, Economic and Social Council Resolution, 1984/50; Additions to the Safeguards Guaranteeing Protection of the Rights of Those Facing the Death Penalty as Agreed by the Economic and Social Council Resolution 1989/64; and the Strengthening of

the Safeguards Guaranteeing Protection of the Rights of Those Facing the Death Penalty as Agreed by the Economic Council Resolution 1996/15.

- ¹³ See eg, ECOSOC Capital Punishment and Implementation of the Safeguards Guaranteeing Protection of the Rights of those Facing the Death Penalty Report of the Secretary-General UN Doc E/2015/49 (13 April 2015).
- ¹⁴ See eg, Report of the Secretary General, Question of the Death Penalty, A/HRC/27/23, 30 June 2014.
- ¹⁵ For example, Judge v. Canada, Communication No. 829/1998, U.N. Doc. CCPR/C/78/D/829/1998 (2003).
- ¹⁶ The Convention against Torture and Other Cruel, Inhuman or Degrading Treatment or Punishment, G.A. Res. 39/46, 10 December 1984.
- ¹⁷ Article 37(a) Convention on the Rights of the Child, G.A. Res 44/25, 20 November 1989.
- ¹⁸ UN Human Rights Committee, General Comment No. 36 (2018) on Article 6 of the International Covenant on Civil and Political Rights, on the right to life, CCPR/C/GC/36, 30 October 2018.
- ²⁰ Resolution on the Moratorium on the use of the death penalty, UNGA Res. 62/149, 18 December 2007, *adopted by 104 votes to 54, with 29 abstentions*; Resolution on the Moratorium on the use of the death penalty, UNGA Res. 63/168, 18 December 2008, *adopted by 106 votes to 46, with 34 abstentions*; Resolution on the Moratorium on the use of the death penalty, UNGA Res. 65/206, 21 December 2010, *adopted by 109 votes to 41, with 35 abstentions*; Resolution on the Moratorium on the use of the death penalty, UNGA Res. 67/176 20 December 2012, *adopted by 111 votes to 41, with 34 abstentions*; Resolution on the Moratorium on the use of the death penalty, UNGA Res. 69/186 18 December 2014 *adopted by 117 votes to 37, with 34 abstentions*; Resolution on the Moratorium on the use of the death penalty, UNGA Res. 71/187, 19 December 2016 *adopted by 117 votes to 40, with 31 abstentions*; Resolution on the Moratorium on the use of the death penalty, UNGA Res. 73/175 17 December 2018 *adopted by 121 votes to 35, with 32 abstentions*; Resolution on the Moratorium on the use of the death penalty, UNGA Res. 75/183, 16 December 2020 *adopted by 123 votes to 38, with 24 abstentions*; Resolution on the Moratorium on the use of the death penalty, UNGA Res. 77/222, 15 December 2022 *adopted by 125 votes to 37, with 22 abstentions*; Resolution on the Moratorium on the use of the death penalty, UNGA Res. 79/179, 17 December 2024 adopted by 130 votes to 32, with 22 abstentions.
- ²¹ UNGA, 'Note verbale dated 13 September 2019 from the Permanent Mission of Egypt to the United Nations addressed to the Secretary-General' (16 September 2019) UN Doc A/73/1004.
- ²² UNHRC, 'Report of the Working Group on the Universal Periodic Review: Malawi Addendum' (18 February 2021) UN Doc A/HRC/46/7/Add.1, para 5.
- ²³ See UNHRC, 'Report of the Working Group on the Universal Periodic Review: Malawi (23 December 2020) UN Doc A/HRC/46/7.
- ²⁴ Amna Nazir, 'The Universal Periodic Review and the Death Penalty: A Case Study of Pakistan' (2020) 4(1) RSIL Law Review 126, 153.
- ²⁵ See UPRinfo, 'For impact on the ground the UPR needs SMART recommendations' <www.upr-info.org/en/news/for-impact-on-the-ground-the-upr-needs-smart-recommendations> (21 October 2015).
- ²⁶ UNHRC Res 5/1 (18 June 2007) UN Doc A/HRC/RES/5/1, para 1(c).
- ²⁷ UNHRC, 'Report of the Working Group on the Universal Periodic Review: Malawi' (23 December 2020) UN Doc A/HRC/46/7, para 115.
- ²⁸ 'Malawi Supreme Court Retreats from Opinion that Declared the Death Penalty Unconstitutional' (*Death Penalty Information Center*, 24 August 2021) https://deathpenalty-unconstitutional'.
- ²⁹ World Coalition Against the Death Penalty, 'Malawi Supreme Court Reverses Abolition Decision' (18 Aug 2022) https://worldcoalition.org/2022/08/18/malawi-abolishes-the-death-
- penalty/#:~:text=The%20maximum%20sentence%20for%20capital,effectively%20amended%20to%20life%20imprisonment.> accessed 15 Jan 2024
- ³⁰ Malawi 24, 'Ministry of Justice delays death penalty abolition bill, activists press for action' (August 2024) https://malawi24.com/2024/08/19/ministry-of-justice-delays-death-penalty-abolition-bill-activists-press-for-action/ accessed 15 January 2025.
- ³¹ Commonwealth Parliamentary Association UK, 'Malawi National Assembly Members brief MPS on capital punishment' (15 July 2022) https://www.uk-cpa.org/news-and-views/malawi-national-assembly-members-brief-mps-on-capital-punishment accessed 15 Jan 2025. See also World Coalition Against, 'The Death Penalty, 'Joint Open Letter to the Minister of Justice of Malawi on the abolition of the death penalty' (16 June 2024) https://worldcoalition.org/2024/07/26/joint-open-letter-to-the-minister-of-justice-of-malawi-on-the-abolition-of-the-death-penalty/ accessed 15 Jan 2025.
- ³² Times Malawi, 'Death penalty is inhuman Titus Mvalo' (October 2021) https://times.mw/death-penalty-is-inhuman-titus-mvalo/ accessed 15 Jan 2025.

 ³³ ibid,

- ³⁹ John Rawls stated, "[a]t some point, the injustice of the outcomes of a legitimate democratic procedure corrupts its legitimacy," in, *Political Liberalism* (Columbia University Press 2005) 248.
- ⁴⁰ Austin Sarat stated, "law cannot work its lethal will and ally itself with the killing state while remaining aloof and unstained by the deeds themselves," in, *When the State Kills: Capital Punishment and the American Condition* (Princeton University Press 2001) 21.
- ⁴¹ See the UN Sustainable Development Goals website, https://sustainabledevelopment.un.org/?menu=1300.
- ⁴² The first two cycles of the UPR were reviewed under a data mining procedure and of the circa. 50,000 recommendations, it was possible to link more than 50% of those to SDG targets, see, The Danish Institute for Human Rights, Linking the Universal Periodic Review to the SGGs, p. 2.
- ⁴³ Report of the Special Rapporteur on extrajudicial, summary or arbitrary executions, Christof Heyns, Mission to Papua New Guinea (3 to 14 March 2014), A/HRC/29/37/Add.1, 30 March 2015, para. 96, "...several interlocutors shared the opinion that the death penalty might actually lead to further killings... given the payback culture. While the Special Rapporteur condemns the existence of the payback culture, he acknowledges that payback-related killings might increase if the death penalty is carried out.

³⁴ World Coalition Against, 'The Death Penalty, 'Joint Open Letter to the Minister of Justice of Malawi on the abolition of the death penalty' (16 June 2024) https://worldcoalition.org/2024/07/26/joint-open-letter-to-the-minister-of-justice-of-malawi-on-the-abolition-of-the-death-penalty/ accessed 15 Jan 2025.

³⁵ Times Malawi, 'Death penalty is inhuman – Titus Mvalo' (October 2021) https://times.mw/death-penalty-is-inhuman-titus-mvalo/ accessed 15 Jan 2025.

³⁶ Khoviwa v Republic (MSCA Miscellaneous Criminal Appeal 12 of 2017) [2021] MWSC 3 (28 April 2021).

³⁷ It is further recalled that the Human Rights Council determined that the basis of the Universal Periodic Review includes consideration of the Universal Declaration of Human Rights, see, Institution-building of the United Nations Human Rights Council, A/HRC/RES/5/1 18 June 2007.

³⁸ Roger Hood and Carolyn Hoyle, *The Death Penalty: A Worldwide Perspective* (5th edn, Oxford University Press 2015) 7-8.